

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

WOLFRAM ARNOLD, ERIK
FROESE, TRACY HAWKINS,
JOSEPH KILLIAN, LAURA CHAN
PYTLARZ, and ANDREW
SCHLAIKJER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

X CORP. f/k/a TWITTER, INC., X
HOLDINGS CORP. f/k/a X
HOLDINGS I, INC. and ELON MUSK,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:23-cv-528-JLH-CJB

**DEFENDANTS X CORP. f/k/a TWITTER, INC., X HOLDINGS CORP. f/k/a
X HOLDINGS I, INC. AND ELON MUSK’S RENEWED MOTION TO
QUASH SUBPOENAS SERVED ON FIVE LAW FIRMS**

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel, renew their motion to quash subpoenas served on five law firms [D.I. 43] (the “Motion to Quash”).¹

The grounds for the Motion to Quash are set forth in Defendants’ opening letter brief in support of the Motion [D.I. 44], reply letter brief [D.I. 46], and supplemental letter brief [D.I. 51].

¹ The Motion, as originally filed, also sought a stay of all discovery pending resolution of Defendants’ dismissal motions. The Court denied the motion to the extent it sought a stay of all discovery. [D.I. 49]. The Court deferred ruling on the Motion to Quash until it first assessed and resolved Defendants’ pending motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. [D.I. 50].

Dated: February 29, 2024

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

/s/ Jody C. Barillare

Jody C. Barillare (#5107)

1201 N. Market Street, Suite 2201

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

302-574-7294

jody.barillare@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Defendants X Corp. f/k/a
Twitter, Inc. and X Holdings Corp. f/k/a
X Holdings I, Inc.*